

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,461	07/09/2003 .	Juha Ollila	089229.00149	7954
	7590 01/22/2008 DERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P	EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			LIPMAN, JACOB	
	NER, VA 22182	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	_
- 3	•
	. >

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/615,461	OLLILA, JUHA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jacob Lipman	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 No.	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,13 and 25-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-11,14-24 and 28-31 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b)  objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 12, 13, and 25-27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 1 June 2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 10, 14-16, 18-20, 22, 23, and 28-31, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by XP-002286828, hereto referred to as XP.

With regard to claims 1, 14, and 28-31, XP discloses a method for generating a subscriber identifier (page 452), including the steps of generating an identifier base string based on encrypting a subscriber identifying value (page 452 step 5) generating an integrity check value based on the identifier base string (page 452 step 6) and generating a subscriber identifier (page 452 step 7) based on a concatenation of the identifier base string and an integrity check value (page 452 step 6).

With regard to claims 2 and 15, XP discloses generating the identifier base string includes the steps of binary coding of the subscriber identifying value (page 452 step 1)

concatenating a random number (page 452 step 2), and performing an encryption algorithm on the concatenated binary coded subscriber identifying value and the random number (page 452 step 5), for generating the identifier base string.

With regard to claims 3 and 16, XP discloses in the subscriber identifier generating step, a base 64 conversion is performed on the concatenated identifier base string and the integrity check value (page 452 step 7).

With regard to claims 5 and 18, XP discloses appending an identifier type (time stamp).

With regard to claims 6 and 19, XP discloses providing a length for the identifier (page 452 step 4).

With regard to claims 7, 9, 10, 20, 22, and 23, XP discloses using a keyed (digest\_key) hash for the integrity check (page 453).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4, 8, 14, 17, 21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over XP.

With regard to claims 4, 8, 17 and 21, XP discloses encrypting the identifier with a passphrase (page 453), and the decryption function uses the same passphrase ("Now is the encryption time"). XP does not disclose how the decryption program knows which

passphrase to use. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to Application/Control Number: 10/615,461 Page 5 Art Unit: 2134 include a key identifier with encrypted data. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to include this key identifier with the encrypted data of XP for the motivation of allowing use of multiple keys, thus increasing security.

With regard to claims 11 and 24, XP discloses the method of claim 1, as outlined above, but does not mention using the method of strengthening hidden fields (page 451) to hide an IMSI. The examiner takes official notice that IMSI numbers are only used for internal network purposes. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to hide an IMSI using the method of XP for the stated motivation of XP, to prevent a user from understanding the information stored on his computer and to allow detection of unauthorized changes to the number.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that IMSI is a known defined term, the examiner did not find a definition in all three of his dictionaries including one American Heritage dictionary and two computer dictionaries. The examiner is willing to use applicant's given definition as long as applicant supplies the source of the definition. Applicant mentions appendix A, but this was not found by the examiner.

With regard to applicant's argument that XP does not disclose generating an identifier base string based on encrypting a subscriber identifying value, XP discloses

that a username and password or shopping cart can be encrypted (page 451) which are subscriber identifying values. These values are then encrypted (page 452 step 5), generating an identifier base string.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571-272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/615,461 Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL Jr

KAMBIZ ZAND KAMBIZ ZAND PATENT EXAMINER